

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.D. Bib. 1259 Alekandria, Virginia 22313-1450

		·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,472	07/17/2003	Ronald L. Gordon	FIS920030254US1	2964	
32074 INTERNATIO	7590 07/17/2007 NAI BUSINESS MAC	EXAMINER			
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION DEPT. 18G			THORNEWELL, KIMBERLY A		
BLDG. 300-48 2070 ROUTE :		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	UNCTION, NY 12533	2128			
			·		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/621,472	RONALD GORDON		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kimberly Thornewell	2128		

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the corresp	ondence addi	ress
THE	REPLY FILED <u>26 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWA	ANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followed places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nota a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendrotice of Appeal (with appeal ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The	nent, affidavit, ( fee) in complia	or other eviden ance with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	$\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from t	he mailing date o	of the final rejection	on.
_	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).			
have under set fo may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exportance of a significant of the strength of the strength of the significant of the significa	ctension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for or than three months after the n	amount of the fe reply originally se	ee. The approprise in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extea Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the	
	NDMENTS				
3. 🗵	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search ( ow);	(see NOTE belo	ow);	•
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by mate	erially reducing	or simplifying t	the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		inally rejected o	aims.	
4. [	•	* **	Non-Complian	t Amendment (	PTOL-324)
5. <u> </u>	·		rtori Compilari	t / unchament (	(1 102 02-1).
6.			eparate, timely	filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		o) ☐ will be er	itered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed:	•			
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
<u>AFFI</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections und ry and was not earlier prese	ler appeal and/ ented. See 37	or appellant fai CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claim	s after entry is	below or attach	ned.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the app	lication in cond	ition for allowar	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
				•	

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Regarding section 3.(a), the IDS was submitted after finality was established and requires further consideration. Although Applicants argue on page 12 second paragraph of the Remarks that only one additional reference is provided in the IDS, it is noted that neither the Liebmann nor the Kreyszig references were previously disclosed in Applicants' specification. Regarding 3.(b), Applicants have amended the Specification to include subject matter not originally disclosed, mentioned, or incorporated by reference. Therefore, further consideration is needed to determine whether new matter has been added. Applicants' arguments regarding the prior-art have been previously addressed in Final Rejection dated 3/26/2007 in sections 5, 6, and 7. Arguments based on the IDS require further consideration.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN'S